EM-algorithm for motif discovery

Xiaohui Xie

University of California, Irvine

EM-algorithm for motif discovery - p.1/1

Position weight matrix

Position weight matrix representation of a motif with width w:

$$\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{11} & \theta_{21} & \cdots & \theta_{w1} \\ \theta_{12} & \theta_{22} & \cdots & \theta_{w2} \\ \theta_{13} & \theta_{23} & \cdots & \theta_{w3} \\ \theta_{14} & \theta_{24} & \cdots & \theta_{w4} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

where each column represents one position of the motif, and is normalized:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{4} \theta_{ij} = 1 \tag{2}$$

for all
$$i = 1, 2, \dots, w$$
.

Likelihood

• Given the position weight matrix θ , the probability of generating a sequence $S = (S_1, S_2, \dots, S_w)$ from θ is

$$P(S|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{w} P(S_i|\theta_i)$$
(3)
$$= \prod_{i=1}^{w} \theta_{i,S_i}$$
(4)

For convenience, we have converted *S* from a string of $\{A, C, G, T\}$ to a string of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Likelihood

Suppose we observe not just one, but a set of sequences S₁, S₂, ···, S_n. Assume each of them is generated independently from θ. Then, the likelihood for observing these n sequences is

$$P(S_1, S_2, \cdots, S_n | \theta) = \prod_{k=1}^n P(S_k | \theta)$$

$$= \prod_{k=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^w \theta_{i, S_{ki}}$$
(6)

Parameter estimation

- Now suppose we do not know θ . How to estimate it from the observed sequence data S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n ?
- One solution: calculate the likelihood of observing the provided n sequences for different values of θ ,

$$L(\theta) = P(S_1, S_2, \cdots, S_n | \theta) = \prod_{k=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^w \theta_{i, S_{ki}}$$
(7)

Pick the one with the largest likelihood, that is, to find θ^* that

$$\max_{\theta} P(S_1, S_2, \cdots, S_n | \theta)$$
(8)

Estimating θ using maximum likelihood

• The optimal θ^* can be derived by setting

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{ij}} = 0 \tag{9}$$

subject to the normalization constraint.

The maximum likelihood estimate is

$$\theta_{ij} = \frac{n_{ij}}{n} \tag{10}$$

which is simply the frequency of different letters at each position. (n_{ij} is the number of letter j at position i).

Mixture of sequences

- Suppose we have a more difficult situation. Among the set of *n* given sequences, S₁, S₂, ··· , S_n, some of them are generated by a weight matrix θ, but some of them are not. How to identify θ in this case?
- Let us first define the "non-motif" (also called background) sequence. Suppose they are generated from a single distribution

$$p^{0} = (p^{0}_{A}, p^{0}_{C}, p^{0}_{G}, p^{0}_{T}) = (p^{0}_{1}, p^{0}_{2}, p^{0}_{3}, p^{0}_{4})$$
(11)

Likelihood for mixture of sequences

- Now the problem is we do not know which sequence is generated from the motif (θ) and which one is generated from the background model (θ^0).
- Suppose we are provided with such label information:

$$z_{i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S_{i} \text{ is generated by } \theta \\ 0 & \text{if } S_{i} \text{ is generated by } \theta^{0} \end{cases}$$
(12)

for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Then, the likelihood of observing the n sequences conditioned on the label variables

$$P(S_1, S_2, \cdots, S_n | z, \theta, \theta^0) = \prod_{i=1}^n [z_i P(S_i | \theta) + (1 - z_i) P(S_i | \theta^0)]$$

Complete likelihood

Suppose we have some prior knowledge on whether a sequence contains a motif or not, in terms of a prior distribution

$$P(z_i) = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } z_i = 1\\ 1 - \alpha & \text{if } z_i = 0 \end{cases}$$
(13)

• Then, we can write down the *joint probability* of *S* and the label variable $z \equiv (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$

$$P(S_1, S_2, \cdots, S_n, z | \theta, \theta^0) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(z_i) [z_i P(S_i | \theta) + (1 - z_i) P(S_i | \theta^0)]$$

which is called the *complete likelihood*.

True likelihood

However, the label variables are not directly observable (also called, hidden or latent). We will need to marginalize the joint distribution over z via summation:

$$P(S_1, S_2, \cdots, S_n | \theta, \theta^0) = \sum_{\substack{z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n}} P(S_1, S_2, \cdots, S_n, z | \theta, \theta^0)$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^n [\alpha P(S_i | \theta) + (1 - \alpha) P(S_i | \theta^0)]$$

Now, the parameter estimation can be formulated as maximize the true likelihood function

$$\max_{\theta,\theta_0} L(\theta,\theta_0) = P(S_1, S_2, \cdots, S_n | \theta, \theta_0)$$
(14)

Method I: Gradient Ascend

As before, we use the log likelihood function

$$\log L(\theta, \theta_0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log[\alpha P(S_i|\theta) + (1-\alpha)P(S_i|\theta^0)]$$
(15)

Gradient-based method: 1) calculate the gradient of $\log L(\theta, \theta_0)$ with respect to θ_{ij} ,

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\theta, \theta_0)}{\partial \theta_{ij}} \tag{16}$$

Then, 2) update θ_{ij} with

$$\theta_{ij}^{t+1} = \theta_{ij}^t + \eta \left[\frac{\partial \log L(\theta, \theta_0)}{\partial \theta_{ij}} \right]_{\theta = \theta^t}$$
(17)

where η is the step size.

Problems with gradient-based methods

- Gradient is hard to calculate
- Need to choose the correct step size
- Slow to converge
- Solution is only locally optimal

Method II: EM-algorithm

Instead of optimizing the true likelihood function, we optimize an approximate likelihood

$$\log \tilde{L}(\theta, \theta_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [q(z_i = 1) \log P(S_i | \theta) + q(z_i = 0) \log P(S_i | \theta^0)]$$

where is also called *average log likelihood*. $q(z_i)$ is the posterior distribution of the label variable.

The average log likelihood is a lower bound on the tree log likelihood function (Jensen's Inequality).

$$\log[\alpha P(S_i|\theta) + (1-\alpha)P(S_i|\theta^0)] \ge q \log[P(S_i|\theta)\alpha/q] + (1-q)\log[P(S_i|\theta)(1-\alpha)/(1-q)]$$
(18)

for all $q \in [0, 1]$.

Expectation and Maximization

- The EM-algorithm iterates between two steps:
 - Expectation: calculate the posterior distribution of z_i ,

$$q^{(t+1)}(z_i) \sim \begin{cases} P(z_i = 1)P(S_i | \theta^{(t)}) & \text{if } z_i = 1\\ P(z_i = 0)P(S_i | \theta_0^{(t)}) & \text{if } z_i = 0 \end{cases}$$
(19)

• Maximization: find optimal θ and θ_0 ,

$$\theta_{kl}^{(t+1)} \sim \sum_{i=1}^{n} q^{(t+1)}(z_i) I(S_{ik} = l)$$
(20)

The two steps are guaranteed to converge to a locally optimal solution.

Pros and Cons of EM-algorithm

- Pros:
 - No need to choose step size
 - Guaranteed to converge
 - Fast
- Cons:
 - Locally optimal
 - Sensitive to the initialization of parameters

Method III: Gibbs Sampling

Motivation: the key problem is that the label variable z is unknown. Maybe we should try to generate a sample of these labels.

- Initialization: Randomly assign z_i to be 1 or 0 according to the prior probability $P(z_i)$.
- **Estimation step**: Traverse through S_i from i = 1 to n. Suppose we are considering S_i . Calculate the absolute frequency matrix of all other sequences (excluding S_i) with label 1. Let n_{ij} denote the number of letter j at position i. Set

$$\theta_{ij} = \frac{n_{ij} + \gamma}{n + 4\gamma} \tag{21}$$

where γ is a small number (called pseudocount). *n* is the total number of sequences with label 1, excluding S_i . Same for θ_0 .

Gibbs Sampling

Sampling step

• Provided with current estimation of θ , θ_0 . For sequence S_i , we can calculate the posterior probability of z_i :

$$q(z_i) \sim \begin{cases} P(z_i = 1)P(S_i|\theta) & \text{if } z_i = 1\\ P(z_i = 0)P(S_i|\theta_0) & \text{if } z_i = 0 \end{cases}$$
(22)

that is $q(z_i = 1) = \alpha P(S_i|\theta) / [\alpha P(S_i|\theta) + (1 - \alpha)P(S_i|\theta_0)].$

- Randomly assign z_i to be 1 or 0 according to probability $q(z_i)$.
- Go to another sequence and repeat.

Pros and Cons of Gibbs sampling algorithm

- Pros:
 - Less susceptible to local optimal
 - Can naturally incorporate prior information
 - Guaranteed to converge
- Cons:
 - Can be slow
 - No good criterion on when to stop

Summary

We have discussed three algorithms for probabilistic motif discovery:

- Gradient-based method
- EM-algorithm
 - MEME:

http://meme.sdsc.edu/meme/meme.html

- Gibbs sampling
 - BioProspector:

http://ai.stanford.edu/~xsliu/BioProspector/

• AlignACE:

http://atlas.med.harvard.edu/