CS206 Assignment #4 - The Dreaded Hilbert Matrix

We are finally going to use Matlab in this assignment. You are welcome to purchase a student copy (\$50) for yourself, but you can also use Matlab on the openlab machines for free—you may even be able to get a free copy of Matlab from the UCI NACS/OIT website.

In this assignment, we're going to generate a bunch of random matrices of size $n \times n$ for various n, and try to solve them, then compute the residuals.

- 1. Let n = 5, and let H₅ be the Hilbert Matrix of order 5 (that is, the 5 × 5 Hilbert matrix). You should read about it a bit on Wikipedia, but Matlab has a built-in function that provides the Hilbert matrix, called hilb(n). Interestingly, although the Hilbert matrix is hard to represent exactly for large n, its inverse has an entirely integer representation, and thus can be computed and represented exactly up to about n = 15 or so; in Matlab you get this matrix by calling invhilb(n). Compute the condition number of H₅. Then, compute H₅⁻¹ × H₅, where H₅⁻¹ is the exact inverse Hilbert matrix returned by invhilb(5). Since the exact value of H⁻¹H should be the n × n identity matrix I_n, we can check how close we are to the correct answer by computing (H₅⁻¹ × H₅ I_n), and then taking its norm (use the 2-norm, which is the default). Try that, and then use the inv function in Matlab to compute the inverse of H₅ instead of invhilb(n), and perform the same norm computation. How do they compare?
- 2. Now, rather than just n = 5, do the same thing for n going from 1 to 30 **using single precision** arithmetic. Create a table showing n, the condition number of H_n , the norm of $(H_n^{-1}H_n I_n)$ (where H_n^{-1} is the exact inverse Hilbert matrix), and the norm of $(\operatorname{inv}(H_n) * H_n I_n)$. Comment on how the error increases in the two cases. Hint: the former is a half-decent estimate on the actual error in the multiplication, and the latter is merely the residual. Does the behavior change significantly if you reverse the order (ie., $(H_n*\operatorname{inv}(H_n) I_n)$ instead of $(\operatorname{inv}(H_n) * H_n I_n)$)?
- 3. Repeat the above in double precision.

Bonus (worth at most 10% of the full assignment) Repeat the single-precision version using one or more iterative methods of your choice to perform the matrix inversion. Do any of them do better?

4. The Hilbert matrix is a pathologically bad matrix, with a very bad condition number. Most matrices are not really that bad. For each n going from 1 to 30, generate 1,000 random matrices with entries in the range [0,1] (again, there is a built-in matlab function to generate random matrices, just use it), and do something similar to the above, except create the table with the following columns: n; the mean, min, and max condition number observed across your 1,000 samples; and the mean, min, and max 2-norm of $(M*inv(M)-I_n)$ and $(inv(M)*M-I_n)$. If the table is too wide, you can print it in landscape mode.

You should submit a PDF write-up electronically.