
Calibrating Noise to Sensitivity in Private Data Analysis

Cynthia Dwork, Frank McSherry, Kobbi Nissim, and Adam Smith

Mamadou H. Diallo

Overview

- Issues: preserving privacy in statistical databases
- Assumption: trusted server
- General Approach:
 - Perturb the true answer to a query by adding random noise generated by a distribution
 - The query function f maps databases to reals
- Previous Work
 - 1. Revealing information while preserving privacy: – (minimum perturbation level, $\epsilon = \sqrt{n}$, consider one column database containing a single binary attribute)
 - 2. Privacy-preserving datamining on vertically partitioned databases: – (generalize 1 to multi-attributes and vertically partitioned DB)
- In this paper
 - General functions f – map databases to **vectors** of reals
 - Privacy definition: ϵ -indistinguishability
 - Privacy preservation: calibration of the standard deviation of the noise according to the sensitivity of the function f
 - A simple method for adding noise based on Laplace distribution

Concepts

- **Statistical databases:** a database used for statistical analysis purposes, a query-response mechanism
- **Interactive scheme:** only the result of a query is perturbed
- **Non-interactive scheme:** the whole database is perturbed before any query
- **Adaptive interactive scheme:** queries based on answers given thus far
- **Probabilistic Turing machine:** randomly chooses between the available transitions at each point according to some probability distribution.
- **Laplace distribution:** It is the distribution of differences between two independent variates with identical exponential distributions
- **Probability density function:** a function that describes the relative likelihood for this random variable to occur at a given point in the observation space.
- **Hamming distance (2 strings):** the number of positions at which the corresponding symbols are different
- **Transcript:** results of an interaction between a user and a privacy mechanism (query + response)

Definitions

- Adversary

- Modeled as probabilistic interactive Turing machine with an advice tape
- Probabilistic Turing machine: add randomness in transition choices

- Notations

San: a database access protocol

A: adversary

x: a particular database

T_{San,A}(x): a transcript, a random variable

x = $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$, where $x_i \in D = \{0,1\}^d$ or \mathbb{R}^d , n = number entries

d_H(.,.) over D_n : Hamming distance

Pr[A=a]: probability density for continuous and discrete random variables

- Definition

A mechanism is ϵ -indistinguishable if for all pairs x, x' in D^n , which differ in only one entry, for all adversaries A , and for all transcripts t :

$$| \ln(\Pr[T_A(x)=t] / \Pr[T_A(x')=t]) | \leq \epsilon, \quad \epsilon = \text{leakage}$$

ϵ small $\rightarrow \ln(1+\epsilon) \approx \epsilon$ and

$$(1-\epsilon) \leq \Pr[T_A(x)=t] / \Pr[T_A(x')=t] \leq (1+\epsilon), \text{ for all transcripts } t$$

Definitions

- Laplace distribution: $\text{Lap}(\lambda)$
 - Density function: $h(y) = e^{(-|y|/\lambda)}$
 - Mean = 0
 - Standard deviation = λ
- Example: Noisy Sum
 - x in $\{0,1\}^n$
 - User wants to learn $f(x)$, where $f(x) = \sum_i x_i$ (total number of 1's in DB)
 - Noise: $Y \sim \text{Lap}(1/\varepsilon)$ (Laplace distribution)
 - $T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_i x_i + Y$
 - ε -indistinguishable mechanism
 $h(y)/h(y') \leq e^{\varepsilon|y-y'|}$ for any real numbers y, y'
difference $(x, x') = 1 \implies$ difference $(f(x), f(x')) = 1$
 $\Pr(T(x) = t) / \Pr(T(x') = t) = h(t-f(x)) / h(t-f(x')) \leq e^{\varepsilon|f(x)-f(x')|} \leq e^\varepsilon$

Definitions

- Non-negligible leakage and the choice of distance measure
 - Non-negligible leakage
 - Noisy Sum: $\epsilon = \Omega(1/n)$ for a constant factor approximation to $f(x)$
 - Leakage is inherent for statistical utility
 - If $\epsilon = o(1/n)$ for close databases, then $\epsilon = o(1)$ for two databases \implies no utility
 - Average-case distance measures
 - Example: statistical distance
 - No meaningful privacy guarantee when $\epsilon = \Omega(1/n)$
 - Example
 - $T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (i, x_i)$, where $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$
If difference $(x, x') = 1$, then difference $(T(x), T(x')) = 1/n$
But, all transcripts reveal private information about some individual
 - Definition not satisfied:
If x, x' differ in the (i, x_i) , $\Pr = 0$ for x'

Sensitivity and Privacy

■ Sensitivity

- Sensitivity of query function f : $S(f)$
- Noise: $\text{Lap}(S(f)/\epsilon)$
- Definition (L1 Sensitivity).

The L1 sensitivity of a function $f : D^n \rightarrow R^d$ is the smallest number $S(f)$ such that for all $x, x' \in D^n$ which differ in a single entry,

$$\|f(x) - f(x')\|_1 \leq S(f)$$

Lipschitz condition on f : if $d_H(\cdot, \cdot)$ is Hamming metric on D^n , then

$$\|f(x) - f(x')\|_1 / d_H(x, x') \leq S(f)$$

■ Example: Sum and Histograms

- (1)- If $D = \{0, 1\}$ and $f(x) = \sum x_i, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then $S_{L_1}(f) = 1$
- (2)- If $D = \{B_1, \dots, B_d\}$, then $f: D^n \rightarrow Z^d$ is a histogram $S_{L_1}(f) = 2$ - independent of d

Sensitivity and Privacy

- Calibrating noise according to $S(f)$
 - If Y drawn from Laplace distribution, then $h(y)/h(y') \leq \exp(|y-y'|/\lambda)$
 - If $Y = \langle L_1, \dots, L_d \rangle$, then $d(y) \sim \exp(-\|y\|_1/\lambda)$
 - Consequence
 $Pr(z+Y=t) / Pr(z'+Y=t) \in \exp(\pm\|z-z'\|_1/\lambda)$

To release $f(x)$ with privacy, add Laplace noise with $sd=S(f)/\epsilon$ in all coordinates

- Proposition
 - (Non-interactive Output Perturbation)
For all $f : D^n \rightarrow R^d$, the following mechanism is ϵ -indistinguishable:
 $San_f(x) = f(x) + (Y_1, \dots, Y_d)$,
where the Y_i are drawn from $Lap(S(f)/\lambda)$

Sensitivity and Privacy

- Adaptive interactive scheme
 - $t = [Q_1, a_1, Q_2, a_2, \dots, Q_d, a_d]$
 $Q = f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d$, where $f_i: D^n \rightarrow R$ (adaptive sequence of queries)
 $S_{an} = \text{None}$ if $S(ft) > \text{treshold}$, otherwise
 $S_{an} = f_i(x) + \text{Lap}(\lambda)$
- Theorem
 - *For an arbitrary adversary A , let $f_t(x) : D^n \rightarrow R^d$ be its query function as parameterized by a transcript t . If $\lambda = \max_t S(f_t)/\epsilon$, the mechanism above is ϵ -indistinguishable.*

Sensitivity and Privacy

- Sensitivity in general metric spaces

- Intuition: insensitive functions of a database can be released privately is not specific to L1

- **Definition:**

Let M be a metric space with a distance function $d_M(\cdot, \cdot)$. The sensitivity $S_M(f)$ of a function $f: D^n \rightarrow M$ is the amount that the function value varies when a single entry of the input is changed.

$$S_M(f) \text{ (def)} = \sup d_M(f(x), f(x')), x, x': d_H(x, x')=1$$

- Probability density function: $z \in M$

$$h_{z,\epsilon}(y) \propto \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon \cdot d_M(y, z)}{2 \cdot S_M(f)}\right)$$

- Sampling function: (approximating)

$$\Pr[T(\mathbf{x}) = y] = \frac{\exp\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2S_M(f)} \cdot d_M(y, f(\mathbf{x}))\right)}{\int_{y \in M} \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2S_M(f)} \cdot d_M(y, f(\mathbf{x}))\right) dy}$$

- **Theorem.** In a metric space where $h_{f(\mathbf{x}),\epsilon}(\cdot)$ is well-defined, adding noise to $f(\mathbf{x})$ as above yields an ϵ -indistinguishable scheme.

Interactive vs. Non-Interactive Mechanisms

- Notations

- $D = \{0, 1\}^d$

- g : boolean function

- $r = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m)$, $r_i \in \{0, 1\}^d$

- $g_r(i, x) = r_i \odot x$ (inner product, modulo 2, of r_i and x)

- Theorem 3 (Non-interactive Schemes Require Large Databases)

Suppose that San is an ϵ -indistinguishable non-interactive mechanism with domain

$D = \{0, 1\}^d$. For at least $2/3$ of the functions of the form

$f_g(x) = \sum_i g(i, x_i)$, the following two distributions have statistical difference

$O(n^{4/3} \epsilon^{2/3} 2^{-d/3})$:

Distribution 0: $T_{\text{San}}(x)$ where $x \in R \{x \in D^n : f_g(x) = 0\}$

Distribution 1: $T_{\text{San}}(x)$ where $x \in R \{x \in D^n : f_g(x) = n\}$

If $n = o(2^{d/4}/\sqrt{\epsilon})$, for most $g_r(i, x) = r_i \odot x$, it is impossible to learn the relative frequency of database items satisfying the predicates $g_r(i, x)$.

Interactive vs. Non-Interactive Mechanisms

■ A Stronger Separation for Randomized Response Schemes

- Randomized response – (data perturbed individually)
 - Randomization operator $Z : D \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ such that
$$T_{\text{San}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = Z(x_1), \dots, Z(x_n)$$
- Strengthening previous theorem
 - Consider fg where the same predicate $g: D \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is applied to all the entries
 - $gr(x) = r \ominus x$ is difficult to learn from $Z(x)$
 - $f(x)$ difficult to learn from $T_{\text{San}}(x)$ if n is not very large

□ Proposition (Randomized Response)

Suppose that San is a ϵ -indistinguishable randomized response mechanism. For at least $2/3$ of the values $r \in \{0, 1\}^d \setminus \{0^d\}$, the following two distributions have statistical difference $O(n\epsilon^{2/3}2^{-d/3})$:

Distribution 0: $T_{\text{San}}(x)$ where each $x_i \in \{x \in \{0, 1\}^d : r \ominus x = 0\}$

Distribution 1: $T_{\text{San}}(x)$ where each $x_i \in \{x \in \{0, 1\}^d : r \ominus x = 1\}$

if $n = o(2^{d/3}/\epsilon^{2/3})$, no user can learn the relative frequency of database items satisfying the predicate $gr(x) = r \ominus x$, for most values r .



Questions?