Lecture 2 Announcements:

- First assignment is assigned today and due next Wed. Assignment is linked to the daily schedule on the course webpage.
- You can turn in homework Wed in class, or in slot on wall across from 2202 Bren, by 5:00pm on due date. Make sure you use the slot for the correct Lecture (A or B) for Statistics 110.
- You will need R for the homework due next week, so make sure you install R and R Studio early enough to get help if needed. See course website links under heading related to computer accounts and information.
- More information on R and R Studio in Friday discussion. Bring laptop (with R and R Studio installed) if desired.

From last lecture:

Response	Explanatory	Procedure	Where
Quantitative	One quantitative	Simple linear regression	Chs 1 &2
Quantitative	Multiple	Multiple regr.	Chs 3, 4
Quantitative	One categorical	One-way ANOVA	Ch 5
Quantitative	Binary	Two-sample t	Stat 7
Quantitative	Multiple cat.	ANOVA	Chs 6, 7
Categorical	Categorical	Chi-square	Stat 7
Categorical	Quantitative	Logistic regr.	Stat 111
Categorical	Multiple	Logistic regr.	Stat 111

TODAY:

- First half of lecture is finishing Chapter 0 (on white board)
- Then Sections 1.1 and 1.2 (these notes)

Simple Linear Regression, for relationship between

Two Quantitative Variables

Motivation

Measure 2 quantitative variables on the same units.

- How strongly related are they?
- In the future, if we know value of one, can we predict the other?

Example: After the midterm exam, how well can we predict your final average (of homework, midterm, final) for this class?

Data: Last year's Stat 110 class where both midterm and final average are known. Use it to create an equation to use in the future, to predict

Y = Final Average, when X = Midterm score is known.

Scatter plot for the example (more later). Removed cases that did not do any homework and/or take final exam.



Algebra Review for Linear relationship

Equation for a straight line:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X$$

β_0 = y-intercept, the value of Y when X = 0

β_1 = slope, the increase in Y when X goes up by 1 unit

Example (deterministic = exact relationship): One pint of water weighs 1.04 pounds. ("A pint's a pound the world around.")

Suppose a bucket weighs 3 pounds. Fill it with X pints of water. Let Y = weight of the filled bucket.

How can we find Y, when we know X? Easy!

Deterministic Example, continued

β_0 = y-intercept, the value of Y when X = 0

This is the weight of the empty bucket, so $\beta_0 = 3$

β_1 = slope, the increase in Y when X goes up by 1 unit; this is the added weight for adding 1 pint of water, i.e. 1.04 pounds.

The equation for the line:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X$$

$$Y = 3 + 1.04\ X$$

X = 1 pint → $Y = 3 + 1.04(1) = 4.04$ pounds

X = 2.5 pints → $Y = 3 + 1.04(2.5) = 5.6$ pounds

Plot of the line $Y = 3 + 1.04\ X$



You have just seen an example of a *deterministic relationship* – if you know X, you can calculate Y exactly.

Definition: In a statistical relationship there is *variation* in the possible values of Y at each value of X.

If you know X, you can only find an *average* or *approximate* value for Y.

We are interested in describing linear relationships between two quantitative variables. Usually we can identify one as the *explanatory variable* and one as the *response variable*. We always define:

X = explanatory variable

Y = response variable

Examples:

	Explanatory Variable	Response Variable:
1. Son's height based on parents	X = Average of mom's and dad's heights	Y = Son's height
2. Highway sign distance	X = Driver's age	Y = Distance (feet) they can read sign
3. Grades	X = Midterm score	Y = Final average

Relating two quantitative variables

1. Graph – "Scatter plot" – to *visually see* relationship
2. Regression equation – to *describe the "best" straight line* through the data, and *predict* y, given x in the future.
3. Correlation coefficient – to *describe the strength and direction* of the linear relationship

Example 1: Can height of male student be predicted by knowing the average of his parents' heights?

Example 2: Can the distance at which a driver can see a road sign be predicted from the driver's age?

Example 3: Can final average be predicted from midterm score?

Creating a scatter plot:

- Create axes with the appropriate ranges for X (horizontal axis) and Y (vertical axis)
- Put in one "dot" for each (x, y) pair in the data set.

Example 1: Scatterplot of 3 points, x = avg parent ht, y = height

First 3 points in the data (in inches):

x	y
64.5	72
68	68
69.5	70



Scatterplot of all 73 individuals, with a line through them



What to notice in a scatterplot:
1. If the *average* pattern is *linear*, curved, random, etc.
2. If the trend is a *positive association* or a *negative association*
3. How *spread out* the *y-values* are *at each value of x* (strength of relationship)
4. Are there any *outliers* – unusual *combination* of (x, y)?

1. Average pattern looks *linear*
2. It's a *positive association* (as x goes up, y goes up, on average)
3. Student heights are quite spread out at each average parents' height
4. There are no obvious outliers in the combination of (x, y)

MODEL: SIMPLE LINEAR REGRESSION (POPULATION)

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \varepsilon$$

Data = Model + Error

FIT:

Basic idea: Use sample data to find the "best" line to
1. *Estimate* the *average value of y* at a given value of x
2. *Predict* y in the future, when x is *known* but y is not

Definition: The sample regression line or least squares regression line is the best straight line (linear relationship) for the *data*.

Notation for the least squares regression line is:

$$\hat{Y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X$$

$$\hat{Y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X$$

"Y-hat = beta-zero + beta-one times X";

$\hat{Y}$ is called the *predicted value* or *predicted Y,* at the value *X.*

Example 1: $\hat{y} = 16.3 + 0.809x$

For instance, if parents' average height *x* = 68 inches,

$$\hat{y} = 16.3 + 0.809x$$

16.3 + 0.809(68) = 71.3 inches

Interpretation – the value 71.3 can be interpreted in two ways:
1. An <u>*estimate*</u> of the <u>*average*</u> height of all males whose parents' average height is 68 inches
2. A <u>*prediction*</u> for the height of a <u>*one*</u> male whose parents' average height is 68 inches

NOTE: It makes sense that we predict a male to be *taller* than the average of his parents. Presumably, a female would be predicted to be *shorter* than the average of her parents.

<u>Interpreting the FIT</u>: y-intercept and slope

Intercept = 16.3 = estimated male height when parents' avg height is 0. It makes no sense in this example! (Extrapolation)

Slope = +0.809 is the difference in estimated height for two males whose parents' average heights differ by 1 inch.

For instance, if parents' average height is 65 inches:

$\hat{y}$ = 16.3 + 0.809(65)=68.9 inches

One inch higher parents' average height is 66 inches, and

$\hat{y}$ = 16.3 + 0.809(66)=69.7 inches

Difference is .809 (rounded to .8)

Errors and Residuals

Individual Y values can be written as:

Population: Individual Y = $\beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \varepsilon$ = Model + Error

So Error = Y – Model = Y – $(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X)$

Sample: Individual y = predicted value + residual

Where predicted value = $\hat{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x$

So individual *y* is:

$$y = \hat{y} + residual = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x + residual$$

Definition: *residual* = $y - \hat{y}$

= "*Observed* y – *predicted* y"

Example: Suppose the average of a guy's parents' heights is 66 inches, and he is 69 inches tall.

Observed data: x = 66 inches, y = 69 inches.

Predicted height: $\hat{y}$ = 16.3 + 0.809(66) = 69.7 inches

Residual = 69 – 69.7 = –0.7 inches

The person is just 0.7 inches *shorter* than predicted.

y = predicted value + residual
69 = 69.7 + (–0.7)

Each y value in the original dataset can be written this way.

DEFINING THE "BEST" LINE

Basic idea: Minimize how far off we are when we use the line to *predict* y by comparing to *actual* y.

For each individual in the data
Residual = $y - \hat{y}$ = observed y – predicted y

Definition: The *least squares regression line* is the line that minimizes the sum of the squared residuals for all points in the dataset. The *sum of squared errors* = SSE is that minimum sum.

See picture on next page.

ILLUSTRATING THE LEAST SQUARES LINE



SSE = 376.9 (average of about 5.16 per person, or about 2.25 inches when take square root)

Example 1:
This picture shows the residuals for 4 of the individuals. The blue line comes closer to *all of the points* than any other line, where "close" is defined by SSE = $\sum_{all\ values} residual^2$

R does the work for you!

You will learn how to do this in discussion. The results look like this:

```
lm(formula = Height ~ AvgHt, data = UCDavisM)

Residuals:
    Min      1Q  Median      3Q     Max
-5.4768 -1.3305 -0.2858  1.2427  5.7142

Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)  16.3001     6.3188   2.580   0.0120 *
AvgHt         0.8089     0.0954   8.479 2.16e-12 ***

Residual standard error: 2.304 on 71 degrees of
freedom
```

EXAMPLE 2: A NEGATIVE ASSOCIATION

- A study was done to see if the distance at which drivers could read a highway sign at night changes with age.
- Data consist of n = 30 (x, y) pairs where x = Age and y = Distance at which the sign could first be read (in feet).



The regression equation is

$\hat{y} = 577 - 3x$

Notice *negative* slope

Ex: 577 – 3(20) = 577 – 60 = 517

Age	Pred. distance
20 years	517 feet
50 years	427 feet
80 years	337 feet

Interpretation of slope and intercept?

Not easy to find the best line by eye!

Applets:

http://www.rossmanchance.com/applets/RegShuffle.htm

(Try copying and pasting data from other examples.)

http://illuminations.nctm.org/Activity.aspx?id=4187
http://illuminations.nctm.org/Activity.aspx?id=4186

Example 3: Predicting final average from midterm

- Relationship is linear, positive association
- Regression equation: $\hat{y} = 46.45 + 0.4744x$ (Interpretation?)
- For instance, here are predictions for *x* = 80, 50, 100

Midterm = *x* = 80, predicted avg = 46.45 + 0.4744(80) = 84.4

$x = 50, \hat{y} = 70.17$, $x = 100, \hat{y} = 93.9$



MORE ABOUT THE **MODEL:** CONDITIONS and ASSUMPTIONS
(Next time we will learn how to check and correct these, in the "ASSESS" step)

1. Linearity: The *linear model* says is that a straight line is appropriate.
2. The variance (standard deviation) of the *Y*-values is *constant* for all values of *X* in the range of the data.
3. Independence: The *errors* are independent of each other, so knowing the value of one doesn't help with the others.

Sometimes, also require:

4. Normality assumption: The errors are normally distributed
5. Random or representative sample, if we want to extend the results to the population.

Putting this all together, the Simple Linear Regression Model (for the Population) is:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \varepsilon$$

where $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma)$ and all independent

and σ = standard deviation errors

= standard deviation of all *Y* values at each X value

Picture of this model:



Another part of the FIT: Estimating σ

- Use the *residuals* to estimate σ.
- Call the estimate the *regression standard error*

$$s = \hat{\sigma}_\varepsilon = \sqrt{\frac{\text{Sum of Squared Residuals}}{n-2}}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{SSE}{n-2}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{n-2}}$$

NOTE: Degrees of freedom = $n - 2$

Example: Highway Sign Distance

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{SSE}{n-2}} = \sqrt{\frac{69334}{28}} = 49.76 \text{ feet}$$

Interpretation:

At each age, *X*, there is a distribution of possible distances (*Y*) at which sign can be read. The mean is estimated to be

$$\hat{y} = 577 - 3x$$

The standard deviation is estimated to be about 50 feet.

For instance, for everyone who is 30 years old, the distribution of sign-reading distances has approximately:

Mean = 577 – 90 = 487 feet and st. dev. = 50 feet.

See picture on white board.

For Ex 3 (grades), s = 5. Interpretation?