## STATISTICS 110/201 PRACTICE FINAL EXAM

**Questions 1 to 5:** There is a downloadable Stata package that produces *sequential sums of squares* for regression. In other words, the SS is built up as each variable is added, in the order they are given in the command. The last page of this exam gives output for the following situation. The data consist of the 68 houses from Appendix C7 that have Quality = 1. Y = Sales Price of the house ("salesprice" on the output), and the three predictor variables are:

 $X_1$  = Square Feet divided by 100 = "sqft100" on the output

 $X_2$  = Number of bedrooms = "bedrooms" on the output

 $X_3$  = Lot Size in square feet= "lotsize" on the output

The model used did not involve any transformations; it is  $E\{Y_i\} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \beta_3 X_{i3}$ . Notice in the output that the model was fit twice, with the variables in two different orders, but we will keep the designation of  $X_1$ , etc as defined above. In other words, we define  $X_1$  = Square Feet divided by 100, and so on, no matter what order they appear in the Stata command.

NOTE: In case you aren't familiar with this notation,  $1.18e+11 = 1.18 \times 10^{11}$ , as an example.

- 1. Write the estimated regression equation for the full model with all 3 variables, filling in numbers for the coefficients.
- 2. Carry out a test of the null hypothesis  $H_0$ :  $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$ . State the test statistic, the *p*-value, and your conclusion about whether or not to reject the null hypothesis using  $\alpha = .05$ .

- 3. Give numerical values for each of the following, where  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$  and  $X_3$  are defined above:
  - a.  $SSR(X_2) =$
  - b.  $SSR(X_3|X_1, X_2) =$
  - c.  $SSR(X_1|X_2) =$
  - d. The *p*-value for testing  $H_0$ :  $\beta_3 = 0$ , given that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are in the model =
  - e. The *p*-value for testing  $H_0$ :  $\beta_2 = 0$ , given that the other X's are not in the model =

4.	Give the numerical values (from the output) for two different test statistics for testing $H_0$ : $\beta_3 = 0$ (given that $X_1$ and $X_2$ are in the model). Then explain in words, in the context of the real estate situation, what this hypothesis is testing.
5.	If we were to fit the simple linear regression model using bedrooms as the only predictor, would the result be that number of bedrooms is a significant predictor of Sales Price? Explain how you know, using information provided in the output.
	END OF QUESTIONS BASED ON THE R OUTPUT
6.	When examining case diagnostics in multiple regression, under what circumstance is it acceptable to remove a case that is clearly a Y outlier?

7.	Give two circumstances in which it is acceptable to remove one or more cases that are outliers	in
	the X variables	

8. Draw a scatter plot of Y versus X showing points for a simple linear regression analysis, illustrating a case that has a small studentized residual but high leverage, and a case that has a large studentized residual but small leverage. Make sure you label which point is which.

9. Suppose you have four possible predictor variables  $(X_1, X_2, X_3, \text{ and } X_4)$  that could be used in a regression analysis. You run a forward selection procedure, and the variables are entered as follows: Step 1:  $X_2$  Step 2:  $X_4$  Step 3:  $X_1$  Step 4:  $X_3$  In other words, after Step 1, the model is  $E\{Y\}=\beta_0+\beta_1X_2$  After Step 2, the model is  $E\{Y\}=\beta_0+\beta_1X_2+\beta_2X_4$  And so on...

You also run an all subsets regression analysis using  $R^2$  as the criterion for the "best" model for each possible number of predictors (1, 2, 3, 4). Would the same models result from this analysis as from the forward stepwise procedure? In other words, would "all subsets regression" definitely identify the following as the best models for 1, 2, 3, and 4 variables? Circle Yes or No in each case.

a. 
$$\beta_0 + 1$$
 variable, best model would be  $E\{Y\} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_2$  YES NO

b. 
$$\beta_0 + 2$$
 variables, best model would be  $E\{Y\} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_2 + \beta_2 X_4$  YES NO

c. 
$$\beta_0 + 3$$
 variables, best model would be  $E\{Y\} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_2 + \beta_2 X_4 + \beta_3 X_1$  YES NO

d. 
$$\beta_0$$
 + 4 variables, best model would be  $E\{Y\} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_2 + \beta_2 X_4 + \beta_3 X_1 + \beta_4 X_3$  YES NO

10. An international company is worried that employees in a certain job at its headquarters in Country A are not being given raises at the same rate as employees in the same job at its headquarters in Country B. Using a random sample of employees from each country, a regression model is fit with: Y = employee salary

 $X_1$  = length of time employee has worked for the company

 $X_2 = 1$  if employee is in Country A, and 0 if employee is in Country B.

New employees, who have  $X_1 = 0$ , all start at the same salary, so the company is not interested in fitting a model with different intercepts, only with different slopes.

a. Write the full and reduced models for determining whether or not the slopes are different for employees in the two countries, using the variable definitions above and standard notation

notation.
Full model:
Reduced model:
b. For the full model, write the row of the X matrix for an employee with 10 years of experience in Country A, and the row of the X matrix for an employee with 12 years of


## experience in Country A, and the row of the X matrix for an employee with 12 years of experience in Country B. You should write these using numbers, not symbols.

## MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS

Circle the best answer.

- 1. In a linear regression analysis with the usual assumptions (stated on page 218 and other places in the text), which one of the following quantities is the same for all individual units in the analysis?
  - A. Leverage hii
  - B.  $s\{Y_i\}$
  - C.  $s\{e_i\}$
  - D.  $s\{\hat{Y}_i\}$
- 2. A regression line is used for all of the following *except* one. Which one is *not* a valid use of a regression line?
  - A. to estimate the average value of Y at a specified value of X.
  - B. to predict the value of Y for an individual, given that individual's X-value.
  - C. to estimate the change in Y for a one-unit change in X.
  - D. to determine if a change in X causes a change in Y.

- 3. Which choice is *not* an appropriate description of  $\hat{Y}$  in a regression equation?
  - A. Estimated response
  - B. Predicted response
  - C. Estimated average response
  - D. Observed response
- 4. Which of the following is the *best* way to determine whether or not there is a statistically significant linear relationship between two quantitative variables?
  - A. Compute a regression line from a sample and see if the sample slope is 0.
  - B. Compute the correlation coefficient and see if it is greater than 0.5 or less than -0.5.
  - C. Conduct a test of the null hypothesis that the population slope is 0.
  - D. Conduct a test of the null hypothesis that the population intercept is 0.
- 5. Shown below is a scatterplot of Y versus X.



Which choice is most likely to be the approximate value of  $R^2$ ?

- A. -99.5%
- B. 2.0%
- C. 50.0%
- D. 99.5%
- 6. In a regression model with p-1 predictor variables chosen from a set of P-1 possible predictor variables, which of the following indicates that bias is *not* a problem with the model?
  - A. Mallow's  $C_p \le p$  (small p)
  - B. Mallow's  $C_p \le P$  (cap P)
  - C. Mallow's  $C_p > p$  (small p)
  - D. Mallow's  $C_p > P$  (cap P)
- 7. Which of the following case diagnostic measures is based on *Y* values only (and not *X* values)?
  - A. Cook's Distance
  - B. Studentized deleted residual
  - C. DFFITS
  - D. None of the above they all use the X values and the Y values
- 8. Which of the following methods is the most appropriate for testing  $H_0$ :  $\beta_k = 0$  versus  $H_0$ :  $\beta_k > 0$ ?
  - A. A t-test
  - B. An F-test
  - C. A test of a full versus reduced model
  - D. All of the above are equally good.

- 9. Which of the following is *not* a valid null hypothesis?
  - A.  $H_0$ :  $\beta_1 = 0$
  - B.  $H_0$ :  $\beta_1 = \beta_2$
  - C.  $H_0$ :  $b_1 = b_2 = 0$
  - D. All of the above are valid null hypotheses
- 10. Which of the following can never be 0 (unless the population standard deviation  $\sigma = 0$ )?
  - A. The estimated intercept,  $b_0$
  - B. A studentized deleted residual, t<sub>i</sub>
  - C. The variance of the prediction error,  $\sigma^2$ {pred}
  - D. The estimate of  $E\{Y_h\}$ ,  $\hat{Y}_h$

Here is the Stata Output for Questions 1 to 5.

Source	99	df	MS		Number of ok	ns = 67
30ulce 			M5		F( 3, 63	
Model	2.4910e+11	3 8.3	3035e+10		Prob > F	
	7.8158e+11				R-squared	= 0.2417
+					Adj R-square	
Total	1.0307e+12	66 1.5	6616e+10		Root MSE	= 1.1e+0
salesprice	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf	f. Interval]
	9893.524	2508.561	3.94	0.000	4880.564	14906.48
bedrooms	-36018.68	14665.17	-2.46	0.017	-65324.68	-6712.675
lotsize	2.512511	1.124531	2.23	0.029	.2653148	4.759707
_cons	290558.1	75398.79	3.85	0.000	139885.6	441230.5
	of Squares f  Coef.			 df2	F	 ?rob > F
eaf+100 l	6187.993	1 180+11	 1	 63	9.474134	0.0031
	-34701.03					
				0.5	J.00J000	U • U Z I U
	2.512511			63 	4.989326	
	rice bedrooms		.ze	63 	4.989326 Number of ok	0.0291 
g_ss salespr Source	rice bedrooms  SS	sqft lotsi df	.ze MS	63 	4.989326 	0.0291 
g_ss salespr Source   Model	rice bedrooms  SS  2.4910e+11	df3 8.3	MS 	63 	4.989326  Number of ok F( 3, 63 Prob > F	0.0291 
g_ss salespr Source   Model	rice bedrooms  SS	df3 8.3	MS 	63 	4.989326  Number of ok F( 3, 63 Prob > F R-squared	0.0291 
Source    Model    Residual	rice bedrooms  SS  2.4910e+11	df 3 8.3 63 1.2	MS  8035e+10 2406e+10	63 	4.989326  Number of ok F( 3, 63 Prob > F	0.0291 
Source   Source   Model   Residual   Total	SS  2.4910e+11 7.8158e+11  1.0307e+12	df 3 8.3 63 1.2	MS  8035e+10 2406e+10  6616e+10	63  P> t	A.989326  Number of ok F( 3, 63 Prob > F R-squared Adj R-square Root MSE	0.0291 
Source   Source   Model   Residual   Total	SS  2.4910e+11 7.8158e+11  1.0307e+12  Coef.	sqft lotsi  df  3 8.3 63 1.2  66 1.5  Std. Err.	MS  3035e+10 2406e+10  5616e+10	P> t	A.989326  Number of ok F( 3, 63 Prob > F R-squared Adj R-square Root MSE  [95% Conf	0.0291 0s = 67 3) = 6.69 = 0.0005 = 0.2417 ed = 0.2056 = 1.1e+0
Source   Source   Model   Residual   Total	SS  2.4910e+11 7.8158e+11  1.0307e+12  Coef.	sqft lotsi  df  3 8.3 63 1.2  66 1.5  Std. Err.	MS  3035e+10 2406e+10  5616e+10	P> t	A.989326  Number of ok F( 3, 63 Prob > F R-squared Adj R-square Root MSE  [95% Conf	0.0291 0s = 67 3) = 6.69 = 0.0005 = 0.2417 ed = 0.2056 = 1.1e+0
Source   Source   Model   Residual   Total	SS  2.4910e+11 7.8158e+11  1.0307e+12  Coef.	sqft lotsi  df  3 8.3 63 1.2  66 1.5  Std. Err.	MS  3035e+10 2406e+10  5616e+10	P> t	A.989326  Number of ok F( 3, 63 Prob > F R-squared Adj R-square Root MSE  [95% Conf	0.0291 0s = 67 3) = 6.69 = 0.0005 = 0.2417 ed = 0.2056 = 1.1e+0
Source   Source   Model   Residual   Total   Salesprice   bedrooms   sqft100   lotsize	SS  2.4910e+11 7.8158e+11  1.0307e+12  Coef.  -36018.68 9893.524 2.512511	df  3 8.3 63 1.2 66 1.5  Std. Err. 14665.17 2508.561 1.124531	MS 3035e+10 2406e+10 6616e+10 t -2.46 3.94 2.23	P> t  0.017 0.000 0.029	4.989326  Number of ok F( 3, 63 Prob > F R-squared Adj R-square Root MSE  [95% Conf	0.0291 0.0291 0.0291 0.005 0.0005 0.2417 0.02056 1.1e+0 0.005 0.2456 1.1e+0 0.2056 1.1e+0 0.2056 1.1e+0
Source   Source   Model   Residual   Total   Salesprice   bedrooms   sqft100   lotsize	SS  2.4910e+11 7.8158e+11  1.0307e+12  Coef.	df  3 8.3 63 1.2 66 1.5  Std. Err. 14665.17 2508.561 1.124531	MS 3035e+10 2406e+10 6616e+10 t -2.46 3.94 2.23	P> t  0.017 0.000 0.029	4.989326  Number of ok F( 3, 63 Prob > F R-squared Adj R-square Root MSE  [95% Conf	0.0291 0.0291 0.0291 0.005 0.0005 0.2417 0.02056 1.1e+0 0.005 0.2456 1.1e+0 0.2056 1.1e+0 0.2056 1.1e+0
Source   Source   Model   Residual   Total   Salesprice   bedrooms   sqft100   lotsize  cons	SS  2.4910e+11 7.8158e+11  1.0307e+12  Coef.  -36018.68 9893.524 2.512511	sqft lotsi  df  3 8.3 63 1.2 66 1.5  Std. Err.  14665.17 2508.561 1.124531 75398.79	MS -3035e+10 2406e+10 6616e+10 -2.46 3.94 2.23 3.85	P> t  0.017 0.000 0.029	4.989326  Number of ok F( 3, 63 Prob > F R-squared Adj R-square Root MSE  [95% Conf	0.0291 0.0291 0.0291 0.005 0.0005 0.2417 0.02056 1.1e+0 0.005 0.2456 1.1e+0 0.2056 1.1e+0 0.2056
Source   Source   Model   Residual   Total   Salesprice   bedrooms   sqft100   lotsize  cons   quential Sum	Coef.  Coef.  Coef.  Coef.  Coef.  Coef.  Coef.	sqft lotsi  df  3 8.3 63 1.2 66 1.5  Std. Err.  14665.17 2508.561 1.124531 75398.79  or Regress  Seq SS	MS -3035e+10 2406e+10 -6616e+10 -2.46 3.94 2.23 3.85	P> t  0.017 0.000 0.029 0.000	4.989326  Number of ok F( 3, 63 Prob > F R-squared Adj R-square Root MSE  [95% Conf	0.0291  0.0291
Source   Source   Model   Residual   Total   Salesprice   bedrooms   sqft100   lotsize  cons   quential Sum	Coef.  coef.	sqft lotsi  df  3 8.3 63 1.2 66 1.5  Std. Err.  14665.17 2508.561 1.124531 75398.79  or Regress  Seq SS	MS -3035e+10 -406e+10 -6616e+10 -2.46 3.94 2.23 3.85	P> t  0.017 0.000 0.029 0.000	4.989326  Number of ok F( 3, 63 Prob > F R-squared Adj R-square Root MSE	0.0291  0s = 67  8) = 6.69  = 0.0005  = 0.2417  ed = 0.2056  = 1.1e+0   F. Interval]  -6712.675  14906.48  4.759707  441230.5
Source   Source   Model   Residual   Total   Salesprice   bedrooms   cons   cons   quential Sum	Coef.  Coef.  Coef.  Coef.  Coef.  Coef.  Coef.	sqft lotsi  df  3 8.3 63 1.2 66 1.5  5td. Err. 14665.17 2508.561 1.124531 75398.79  or Regress  Seq SS  4.02e+07	MS -3035e+10 2406e+10 -6616e+10 -2.46 3.94 2.23 3.85	P> t  0.017 0.000 0.029 0.000	4.989326  Number of ok F( 3, 63 Prob > F R-squared Adj R-square Root MSE	0.0291  0.0291